Elected Member Forum 6 August 2021



KARAKIA

Tūtawa mai i runga
Tūtawa mai i raro
Tūtawa mai i roto
Tūtawa mai i waho
Kia tau ai te mauri tū
Te mauri ora, ki te katoa
Hāumi e. Hui e. Tāiki e!

I summon from above
I summon from below
I summon from within
I summon the surrounding environment
The universal vitality and energy to infuse
and enrich all present
Enriched, unified and blessed



AGENDA

Recap Principles **Options** Māori ward/s **Proposal**



What is a representation review?



"take a fresh look at the **structure** of its membership and the **way** they are elected"

Fair and effective representation





- How many elected members should we have?
- Should elected members be elected from across the whole district or split into wards?
- If wards are introduced, what should the boundaries be?
- If we have wards, how many elected members should we have per ward?
- Should Community Boards be introduced/retained?
- Number of seats for Māori Ward/Wards





Why are reviews important?



- They ensure our electoral arrangements are fair
- They ensure equality of access
- They enable citizens to discuss the nature of effective representation in our district
- They contribute to our experience of democracy not just locally but also nationally





Principles

- Keep it simple
- Māori ward/s + General & "at large"
- 10 total members
- 1 ward with 3 Māori ward members



2022 Representation arrangements



Two Wards Model



7 elected from 1 general ward



3 elected from Māori ward/s



1 Mayor

10 councillors + 1 Mayor

PROS AND CONS OF OPTION 1

Pro's

- Aligns with 3 of the proposal principles*
- Provides for geographical coverage of communities with ward-elected members.
- Provides for communities of interest spread across the district.
- General electors get 7 votes which excludes the Mayor out of a possible 10 votes (70% of total votes available)

Con's

- e
- Keep it simple, 10 total members, 1 ward with 3 Māori ward members

*Keep it simple, 10 total members, 1 ward with 3 Māori ward members



2022 Representation arrangements



Mixed Model (wards and at large)



6 elected from 1 general ward



3 elected from Māori ward/s



1 elected at large



1 Mayor

10 councillors + 1 Mayor

PROS AND CONS OF OPTION 2

Pro's

- Aligns with all 4 of the proposal principles*
- Provides for geographical coverage of communities with ward-elected members.
- Provides for communities of interest spread across the district.
- Provides an option for both General and Māori electors to vote (at large).
- General electors get a total of 7 votes which excludes the Mayor out of a possible 10 votes (70% of total votes available)
- Increases Māori electors right for options to vote by 1 (this equates to an increase of 10% of the total votes available)

Con's

- May not accurately represent current communities of interest.
- Potential for perception that the ward member represents their ward only.
- Māori electors get a total of 4 votes which excludes the Mayor out of a possible 10 votes (40% of the total votes available)

*Keep it simple, Māori ward/s + General & "at large", 10 total members, 1 ward with 3 Māori ward members





2022 Representation arrangements



Mixed Model (wards and at large)



4 elected from 1 general ward



2 elected from Māori ward/s



4 elected at large



1 Mayor

10 councillors + 1 Mayor

PROS AND CONS OF OPTION 3

Pro's

- Aligns with 3 of the proposal principles*
- Provides for geographical coverage of communities with ward-elected members.
- Provides for communities of interest spread across the district.
- Provides an option for both General and Māori electors to vote (at large).
- General electors get a total of 8 votes which excludes the Mayor out of a possible 10 votes (80% of the total votes available)
- Māori electors get a total of 6 votes which excludes the Mayor out of a possible 10 votes (60% of the total votes available)
- Increases both the General and Māori electors voting opportunities (General is an increase of 10% as opposed to options 1 & 2,
 Māori is an increase of 30% to option 1 and 20% to option 2)

Con's

- May not accurately represent current communities of interest.
- Potential for perception that the ward member represents their ward only.

*Keep it simple, Māori ward/s + General & "at large", 10 total members





Māori wards





Discussion



